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Nicotine Content of the The Ruyan cartridge

![Bar chart showing nicotine content of different strengths and placebo.]

**Conclusion**

- The labeling is similar to actual content.

- Batch to batch and within-batch variations were not studied.
Risk of microorganisms in cartridge liquid

Samples: One unused cartridge
One repeatedly used cartridge

Tests: cfu/mL

Aerobic plate count 35 °C <10
Anaerobic <10
Legionella <10

Conclusion

No tendency for microorganisms to grow in the liquid

Laboratory: ESR, a Crown Research Institute, Porirua, NZ.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals</th>
<th>mg/Kg (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sb (antimony)</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>&lt; 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>&lt; 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>&lt; 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion:

**Metals all < 1 ppm: Not a risk**
Is this a tobacco product?
Test samples: with and without nicotine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fragrance/odour</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Tobacco-specific Nitrosamines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For comparison</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NRT gum(^1)</td>
<td>8 ng/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unburnt cig. Tobacco(^2)</td>
<td>1200 ng/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoke(^3)</td>
<td>to 500 ng/cigarette</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAO inhibition:

- Of MAO A: no sig. effect
- Of MAO B: no sig. effect

2. TSNAs in NZ cigarette tobaccos [www.smokeless.org.nz/snuffregulations.htm](http://www.smokeless.org.nz/snuffregulations.htm) at Table 2.
Volatile smoke toxicants (VOCs)

SIFT-MS headspace analysis of the Ruyan e-Cigarette cartridge (mean of two replicates).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toxicant</th>
<th>Concentration in blank (parts per million; ppm)</th>
<th>Concentration in headspace of cartridge: parts per million; ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(LOQ=0.3 ppm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaldehyde</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>9.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cresols (total m-, o- and p-)</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen cyanide</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene oxide</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethylene oxide</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
<td>&lt;LOQ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To convert from ppm at 25degC to mg/m3 or to ug/ml = ppm x MW/24.45

Due to interference in ion measurements from alcohol:

- VOCs detected as > LOQ should be verified by GC-MS type methods.
- Values are upper limits

*In the e-cigarette, smoke toxicants such as HCN, Butadiene and acrylonitrile are below 0.3 ppm*
Alcohol
Acetaldehyde
Silanes (artefacts)
Propylene glycol
Beta nico-tyrine
2-acetylpyrazine (flavour GRAS)
2-acetylpyridine (coffee extract)
Nicotine
styrene
Further VOCs detected in head space of e-cigarette cartridge liquid by HS-SPME

(Headspace Scan/Solid Phase MicroExtraction)

Acetone 0.5 to 1 ppm minimum  
Styrene 0.5 to 1 ppm minimum  
Xylene 0.5 to 1 ppm minimum

After drawback on the e-cigarette, the vapourised mist needs to be measured by GC-MS to allow for the higher temperature during vapourisation.
Inhalation safety levels for acetaldehyde

Concentration in cartridge headspace: 9.5 ppm

OSHA permits concentrations of 100 ppm (average) for workplace air across one 8-hour shift, 40 hours per week, for 1 year duration or more.

If the e-cigarette smoker smoked one cartridge (300 breaths) during one 8-hour shift, e-cigarette smoking would comprise 5% of workplace breaths taken.

Thus if a workplace was acetaldehyde-free to begin with, the e-cigarette smoker would have to inhale vapour with a concentration of 2000 ppm to equal what OSHA permits for average workplace air levels.

This is 200 times the 9.5 ppm measured by SIFT-MS in the headspace of the Ruyan cartridge.

- Acetaldehyde should be re-measured in the mist inhaled from the drawback.
- Better, the source of the acetaldehyde in the cartridge liquid should be detected and eliminated if at all possible.
Carcinogens, non-volatile

Samples: cartridge liquid, not head space

TSNAs (nitrosamines) $8 \text{ ng} / \text{cartridge as above}$

BENZO ALPHA PYRENE $<1 \text{ ng} / \text{cartridge}$
($< \text{LOD}$)

- In an inhaler, the non-volatile compounds are not likely to be inhaled.

- However the e-cigarette relies on a higher temperature to vapourise nicotine

- A scan of the vapourised mist from the e-cigarette is required to check what else has been vapourised.

Risk of contamination from mouthpiece

Risk:
Meningococcal meningitis, tuberculosis.

Public health advice is to avoid sharing glasses and cigarettes.

Sharing the e-cigarette mouthpiece is inadvisable.

The risk is largely eliminated by separate mouth pieces for different users.

(The black mouthpiece is detachable from the white nicotine-containing cartridge.)
Safety of e-cigarette ‘smoke’ for bystanders

The ‘smoke’ is propylene glycol – virtually non-toxic, does not contain gases of combustion.

Carbon monoxide in exhaled breath, before and after the first cigarette of the day

- CO is a marker gas for combustion.
- The e-cigarette does not increase CO in breath.
- Smoking the e-cigarette would not be restricted

The NZ Smoke-free Environments Act only restricts tobacco and marijuana smoke.

The e-cigarette reduces second-hand smoke
1) by replacing the lighting up of tobacco, and
2) by not producing second-hand smoke itself.